

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,097 08/21/20		08/21/2000	Edward W. Jackson	7045.10	7966
21999	7590	07/02/2002			
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE			EXAMINER		
1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			RUDNICK, DOUGLAS W		
P O BOX 45 SALT LAKE		JT 84145-0120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1764	5
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	è	,		_	ME
	<u> </u>	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·V / / ·
÷ '		•	09/643,097	JACKSON, EDWAI	RD W.
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
			Douglas W Rudnick	1764	
Peri		- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress
- - -	A SHC FHE M Extens after S If the p If NO p Failure Any re earned	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing it patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a	a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
	3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>			e merits is
•	_	on of Claims			
4	-	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.			
		la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	·	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
	·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquirament		
	•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.		
		he specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10))∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	-		
4.4	□ -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			_
1	י וווי	he proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		isapproved by the Examine	·r.
12)\[□ T	the oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
		nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armici.		
		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	p	5 . 10(a) (a) of (i).	
		1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
		2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		application No.	
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
		application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		3 ·
14)□ Ac	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
15		☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attac	hment((s)			
2)	Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Informal Patent Application (PTC	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/643,097

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claim 1 and 2 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6248299. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they each disclose an open system sulphurous acid generator.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6248299 in view of case law Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,097

Art Unit: 1764

This case law states the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is prima facie obviousness.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6248299 in view of Rowley, Jr. (US 5598979).

US 6248299 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, US 6248299 is silent to a means for dampening the flow of air. Rowley, Jr. teaches a means for the dampening of air (claim 12) for the purpose of making the system more efficient.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to have provided a means for dampening of air in US 6248299 in order to have a more efficient system as taught by Rowley, Jr.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W. Rudnick whose telephone number is 703-305-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,097

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Douglas W. Rudnick Art Unit 1764

dwr June 24, 2002

> MARIAN C. KNODE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700